### **EXECUTIVE DECISION RECORD**

**REF No** 523.22

A1 Service Area	Planning Policy
A2 Title	Publication of the autumn 2022 Local Plan Review Table in Comparison with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF 2021), and Local Plan Performance Tracker
A3 Decision Taken By	Leader
A4 Chief Officer	Please print name: Sal Khan
	Please sign name:
A5 Leader / Deputy Leader	Please print name: Cllr G Allen
	Please sign name:
A6 Date of Decision	

## Confidentiality

A7 Is this Decision confidential by containing exempt information as described in Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972?	No
A7.1 If yes, please state relevant paragraph from Schedule 12A LGA 1972.	N/A

### Scrutiny

A8 Which Scrutiny Committee should this decision be submitted to?	(Please tick as appropr	iate) ✓
Scrutiny (Audit & Value for Money Council Services) Committee		
Scrutiny (Community Regeneration, Environment and Health		
and Well Being) Committee		✓

B1 What is the Decision?	To publish the Local Plan Review Table in Comparison with the NPPF (2021), and Local Plan Performance Tracker.  These documents together allow the assessment of the ESBC Local Plan 2015 against national policy and the assessment of how well the plan is meeting its objectives.  Currently the Local Plan is working well, and largely aligns with National Policy. The Plan's objectives continue to be met.  ESBC biannually publishes the Council's five year housing land supply: Housing evidence base documents   ESBC (eaststaffsbc.gov.uk)  Currently the Borough can demonstrate a supply of over 8 years.  The Plan is therefore in date, and should continue to be used for the purposes of decision making. The 2022 review documents support the resolution of Council on the 19th of October 2020 that the update to the Local Plan, be delayed for a maximum of 5 years.
B2 What are the reasons for the Decision?	The publication of these documents is in accordance with the resolutions of the Extraordinary Council meeting on 19th October 2020. This annual review was undertaken in line with policy SP6 of the Local Plan and Regulation 10A of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning)(England) Regulations 2017 as amended.
B3 What are the contributions to Corporate Priorities?	The publication of these documents contributes to the following corporate priority and targets:  • Priority: Value For Money Council • Corporate Plan Target EHW43 Monitor Local Plan Performance
<b>B4</b> What are the Human Rights considerations?	There are no Human Rights issues arising from this decision.

# **Financial Implications**

<b>B5</b> What are the financial implications?	There are no direct financial issues arising from the publication of these documents

Please print name: Anya Murray
Please sign name:

### **Policy Framework**

<b>B6</b> Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's policy framework?	Yes
<b>B6.1</b> If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	N/A
<b>B6.</b> 2 Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	N/A
<b>B7</b> Is the Decision wholly in accordance with the Council's budget?	Yes
<b>B7.1</b> If No, does it fall within the urgency provisions (Part 3 of the Constitution)?	N/A
<b>B7.2</b> Has it got the appropriate approvals under those provisions?	N/A

## **Equalities Implications**

**B8** What are the Equalities implications: None

B8.1 Positive (Opportunities/Benefits): N/A
B8.2 Negative (Threats): N/A
<b>B8.3</b> The subject of this decision is not a policy, strategy, function or service that is new or being revised. An equality impact assessment is not required.
<b>B8.4</b> The equality impact assessment identified the following actions to be carried out: N/A

**B9** What are the Risk Assessment implications: See below.

#### **B9.1** Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

The publication of these documents gives an insight into the performance of policies and the Plan's conformity to NPPF.

#### **B9.2** Negative (Threats):

If these documents were not published, then it would be more difficult for stakeholders and members of the public to evaluate the plan's conformity with National Policy, and how on track the Plan is in meeting its objectives.

#### **Legal Considerations**

**B10** What are the Legal Considerations: See below.

**B10.1** The main legal issues arising from this decision are as follows:

There are no significant legal implications in regard to this decision

This section has been approved by the following member of the Legal Team: Sherrie Grant

Please print name: Sherrie Grant

Please sign name

#### **Sustainability Implications**

**B11** What are the Sustainability implications: See below.

#### **B11.2** Positive (Opportunities/Benefits):

Monitoring the Plan's performance helps to assess how well it is meeting its sustainable objectives.

Assessing to what degree the local plan is in accordance with the NPPF allows an assessment of whether it is suitably assisting the government's aims as regards sustainability.

#### **B11.3** Negative (Threats):

These documents were not produced, it would be difficult to establish how effective the plan is in achieving its aims, or how well it is assisting in the delivery of government sustainability objectives expressed through NPPF.

#### **Health & Safety Implications**

B12.1 A Risk Assessment has not been carried out and entered into Safety Media for all significant hazards and risks because there are no significant hazards or risks arising from this decision.

B12.2 [The significant hazards and risks have been identified in the Safety Media Risk Assessment numbered [ ]. Any financial implications to mitigate against these hazards and risks are considered above.] N/A

B12.3 Control measures and an action plan have been identified for any significant hazards and risks identified in the risk assessment. N/A

The positive/negative impacts are set out below
B12.3.1 Positive (Benefits)

NA
B12.3.2 Negative (Threats)

#### **Key Decision**

**B13** Is this a Key Decision?

No

Note: A Key Executive Decision is one where:

- 1. REVENUE Any contract or proposal with an annual payment or saving of more than £100,000
- 2. CAPITAL Any capital project with a value in excess of £150,000
- 3. A decision which significantly affects communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards.

B13.1 If this is a Key Decision, is this an urgent decision such that a delay caused by use of the Call-in Procedure would <u>seriously</u> prejudice the public interest?	N/A
B13.2 If yes, has the Mayor or in his/her absence the Deputy Mayor or in his/her absence the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee agreed that the decision will be exempt from Call-in?	N/A

The questions contained in this questionnaire are not to be altered in any way. If you have any queries regarding the contents of this document, please contact Andrea Davies Ext 1306 or refer to Part 3 Section 6 of the Constitution.

NOTE: If this decision is subject to the Call-in Procedure it will come into force, and may then be implemented, on the expiry of 3 working days after publication - unless 10 Members of the Council call in the decision. Please send the original signed document to andrea.davies@eaststaffsbc.gov.uk